

PARTICIPATORY DEMOCRACY AND EVALUATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

Methodology and organisation

7 July 2021 Juliette Meadel, Audit manager



CONTEXT Participatory democracy and public policies



INCREASE CITIZEN INVOLVEMENT IN EVALUATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- Participatory democracy at national level is a relatively recent phenomenon.
- Accelerated by the "Yellow Vest" movement
 - A protest movement against the government and the elites that dates back to 2019 and lasted almost a year and still endures, yet with lower intensity.
- A reconsideration of evaluation and investigation methods
 - The Court contributed to the "Grand Débat National"
- The Court has integrated this issue into its work
 - As early as 2012, the Court used opinion polls in support of its evaluations: tobacco (2012), alcohol (2016), and autism (2017) reports



PARTICIPATORY DEMOCRACY IN THE HEALTH FIELD

- A long-standing issue, which emerged in the 1970s : European Charter of Patient's rights, 1979
- Then carried by several major moments that led to the definition of the concept of "health democracy"

• The creation of the National Health Conference in 1996 and of the Regional Centers of Health and the Associative Collective on Health

• The "Etats Généraux de la santé": involving all the stakeholders in the health system in the development and implementation of health policies

- Still recent developments
- Citizen consultation in the evaluation of public health policies: impact analysis and evaluation of the quality of care



THE EVALUATION Evaluation of public health prevention policies



PRESENTATION OF THE EVALUATION

- An evaluation of prevention policies for three chronic diseases (cancer, diabetes, neurocardiovascular diseases)
- Commissioned by the Parliament, the survey analyses the results, strategies and governance methods
- A particular interest in issues of "health democracy"
- The citizen consultation took place on two occasions: an opinion poll and a citizens' panel



METHODOLOGY Involving citizens in the evaluation of public policies



KEY POINTS

- The consultation includes two parts : the opinion pool and the citizen panel
- They are similar organizational point of method between them
 - Launch of the call for tenders and selection of the service provider
 - Co-construction with the polling institute of the requirements in terms of representativeness and the organization of these consultations.
- How to integrate the results of the consultations?
 - Selection of the most relevant findings from the panel's recommendations, based on the reality of the facts (statistics and health data).



THE OPINION POLL

Target population and methodology

 Representative sample of 2086 people, citizens who use health services - without health professionals

- Quota method and distribution according to gender, age, socio-professional category, region of residence and size of town
- Survey divided into the following issues : level of information on prevention; opinion on prevention communication campaigns; role of health professionals; individual actions
- Integrating the results into the report



THE CITIZENS' PANEL

Construction methodology:

• Representative group of 22 people divided by gender, age, socio-professional category, region of residence and size of town.

- Include citizens living in rural areas
- Organization of the panel consultation:
 - A day of training on prevention issues
 - A day of discussion and work on the Court's recommendations
- Incorporating the panel's proposals into the Court's work



CONCLUSION

• Feedback and work from the citizens' panel in support of the evaluation:

•The establishment of an unprecedented space for dialogue between the members of the Court and the citizens

•A shared view and expectations on the evolution of prevention

•The panel confirms the Court's analysis and recommendations: occupational medicine, simplification of governance, fight against lobbying

•The panel makes new proposals that are more or less integrated into the report:

•Better inform users and patients by targeting communication more effectively

•Acting on taxation through increased taxation on unhealthy products